STUDY GUIDE

The Allure of Gentleness

Dallas Willard

---

6 Sessions · 2 Hours Each · BOB Study (April 2026)

theology, apologetics, and spiritual formation.

HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

This guide is built around Dallas Willard's book The Allure of Gentleness: Defending the Faith in the Manner of Jesus.

FOR GROUP LEADERS

Each session contains more material than most groups will cover in a single meeting. Read the chapter summary and all five discussion questions before your group meets. Choose 3–4 questions based on where your group is, follow the energy in the room, not the list. The goal is depth, not completion.

**The Leader Note at the bottom of each session identifies the question that could lead to deep conversation or contemplation.*

SESSION STRUCTURE

Each session includes: a Chapter Summary to orient everyone to the reading; Key Quotes to read aloud and anchor the discussion; 5 Discussion Questions (choose 3–4) with follow-up prompts; Personal Reflection prompts (A, B, C) for between-session use; and a Leader Note for the facilitator.

ON THE REFLECTION PROMPTS

The A, B, C prompts at the end of each session are invitations, not homework. They are designed for personal honesty between sessions (journaling, prayer, a quiet conversation). There's no need to report back on them, just dive in and see what comes next.

A NOTE ON TONE

Willard's vision of apologetics is countercultural: not combative, not defensive, not about winning. It is a ministry of love done in the spirit of Christ.

SESSION OVERVIEW

Session	Title	Chapter	Core Focus
1	Beginning to Think for Christ	Ch. 1	Apologetics as ministry; faith vs. knowledge; the power of ideas
2	The NT Charter on Apologetics	Ch. 2	1 Peter 3:15–16; living a life that invites questions; dealing with doubt
3	Biblical Apologetics	Ch. 3	Reason as gift; what apologetics is not; confident, humble, generous
4	Faith and Reason	Ch. 4	Jesus as reasoner; human reason under grace; building life on knowledge
5	Communication Between God and Humanity	Ch. 5	The covenant people; the Bible's reliability; God's good purposes in history
6	Pain, Evil & Living with God	Ch. 6–7	The problem of evil; the ultimate apologetic; living in the 23rd Psalm

SESSION 1

Beginning to Think for Christ

Chapter One

CHAPTER SUMMARY

Willard opens the book by establishing what apologetics actually is — and immediately correcting the distorted version most Christians have inherited. He defines apologetics as a New Testament ministry that uses thinking and reasoning, in reliance on the Holy Spirit, to help earnest inquirers let go of disbelief and mistrust in God. This is not a combative discipline. It is a loving service.

A central thread of the chapter is the relationship between faith and knowledge. Willard draws a sharp distinction: faith is confidence or trust in something, which may or may not be true. Knowledge is being able to deal with things as they actually are. Christianity, he insists, is not asking people to believe in the dark — it is offering genuine knowledge about reality. The problem is that many Christians have accepted a false cultural idea: that faith and knowledge are opposites, that the church is a place of belief while the world is a place of knowing. Willard calls this deeply damaging and argues it has led the church to abandon the intellectual realm to its enemies.

He introduces the concept of ideas as the governing force of human life — we are all, he says, at the mercy of our ideas. Our mental map of reality determines how we act, what we value, and what we expect. Discipleship, then, is fundamentally about getting our ideas corrected — submitting our map to Jesus, who is the best reasoner and the most truthful person who has ever lived.

The chapter ends with a vision of what Christian apologetics looks like when it is done in the spirit of Christ: not as a contest with winners and losers, but as the work of people who are themselves being transformed — who think well, love genuinely, and point others toward reality as Jesus revealed it.

KEY QUOTES

> *"Apologetics is a New Testament ministry that uses thinking and reasoning, in reliance on the Holy Spirit, to assist earnest inquirers in relinquishing disbelief and mistrust in God and God's good purposes for humankind."*

> *"People are fully at the mercy of their ideas. Every one of us has a map in our mind made up of our ideas about life, how things work, who we are, and so on."*

> *"Apologetics is not a contest of any kind, with winners and losers. It is a loving service. It is the finding of answers to strengthen faith. It should be done in the spirit of Christ and with his kind of intelligence."*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard says the word 'apologetics' is foreboding, that if you told your neighbor 'I'm going to do apologetics with you,' they would run away. Why does the word carry that weight? Where do you think that reputation came from? ***Follow up:*** *Has anyone in the group had a negative experience with apologetics — either giving it or receiving it? What made it feel wrong?*
2	Willard draws a sharp distinction between faith and knowledge. Faith is confidence in something that may or may not be true. Knowledge is being able to deal with things as they actually are. How does that distinction land with you? Does it change how you think about your own belief? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever been in a church environment that treated faith and knowledge as opposites? Did asking hard questions feel like a lack of faith? How did that affect you?*
3	Willard says we are all 'at the mercy of our ideas', that our mental map of reality governs everything about how we live. He also says discipleship is fundamentally about getting that map corrected by Jesus. What does it look like practically to submit your ideas to Jesus? Where is that hardest for you? ***Follow up:*** *Can you think of an idea you held for a long time that turned out to be inaccurate or questionable — and what it cost you before you corrected it?*
4	Willard is direct that the cultural idea 'Christianity is just another superstition' has been deeply absorbed even by many Christians. Is that an uncomfortable truth? Do you see it in church or in others around you?
5	Willard ends the chapter with a vision of the apologist as someone who is themselves being transformed — a disciple who thinks well, loves well, and lives in the spirit of Christ. What would have to change for you to approach conversations about faith with that spirit? ***Follow up:*** *What is the gap between the apologetics you have seen modeled and the kind Willard describes here?*

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

These prompts are for individual reflection between sessions — not homework, just invitation.

A	Willard says our ideas govern our lives — and that we need to be humble enough to let Jesus correct our map. What is one idea about God, faith, or yourself that you have been holding onto for a long time that may need to be examined? You don't have to answer it. Just name it.

B	Think about someone in your life — a friend, a family member, a colleague — who has real doubts or questions about faith. Based on what Willard says in this chapter, what kind of conversation would actually help them? What has gotten in the way of that conversation so far?

C	Before the next session: go to biblegateway.com and search the word ‘know’ — as Willard suggests — and compare it to ‘belief’ and ‘faith.’ Spend 10 minutes with what you find. What surprises you about how often and how confidently the New Testament uses the language of knowledge?

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

Question 4 has the potential to surface real vulnerability — the admission that even believers sometimes privately suspect their faith might be wishful thinking. Willard’s point is not to shame anyone but to name something real so it can be addressed. The Bible search in Reflection C is worth doing before the session — you can bring 2–3 examples to share with the group and model that this is a normal, valuable practice for a Christian.

SESSION 2

The New Testament Charter on Apologetics

Chapter Two

CHAPTER SUMMARY

Chapter Two centers on 1 Peter 3:15–16 — Willard's "charter" for all apologetic work. He unpacks two dimensions of this passage: the context of apologetics (it is done from a life of joy, confidence, and non-fearfulness, anchored in the kingdom of God) and the scope of apologetics (it is a ministry for every Christian, not just trained specialists).

Willard makes a striking and important claim: **the great problem facing the gospel of Jesus Christ is not the doubt that is outside the church — it is the doubt that is inside the church.** Christians who cannot deal lovingly and helpfully with honest doubt in their own communities will never be equipped to reach those outside. The context, he argues, is everything: apologetics flows from a life so visibly rooted in God's kingdom that it makes people ask, 'Why are you so happy?'

He examines Jesus's method with Thomas, not scolding or shaming Thomas for his doubt, but meeting him with evidence, giving him time, and moving him from doubt toward knowledge. This, Willard argues, is the model: patient, gentle, substantive, and confident. He also addresses what apologetics is not — it is not intellectual bullying, not a way to win arguments, not an attempt to prove we are right. It is a ministry of helping people who are 'morally in sympathy with believers' move toward confident faith.

The chapter closes with three qualities of the Christian apologist: confidence in God's truth (not nervousness), humility and generosity toward the person asking, and a true desire to lovingly serve. The standard is 2 Timothy 2:24–26: 'kindly to everyone, an apt teacher, patient, correcting opponents with gentleness.'

KEY QUOTES

> *"The great problem facing the gospel of Jesus Christ is not the doubt that is outside the church; it is the doubt that is inside the church."*

> *"Apologetics is a ministry directed to all people, wherever they may be located, whether they call themselves Christians or not, because these ideas that sit in our heads have us at their mercy."*

> *"I'm not here to defend the Christian faith; the Christian faith defends me. I'm here to help people wherever I am."*

> *"The Lord's servant must not be quarrelsome but kindly to everyone, an apt teacher, patient, correcting opponents with gentleness."*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard argues that the context of 1 Peter 3:15–16 is a community of people who are not afraid and not anxious — people who are so obviously living a life above the ordinary that others stop and ask why. How does that reframe what apologetics is? Does your life currently invite those kinds of questions? ***Follow up:*** *What would your life need to look like for someone who is suffering to look at you and wonder, 'How are you still okay?'*
2	Willard says the great problem facing the gospel today is not the doubt outside the church but the doubt inside it. What do you think he means by that? Have you experienced it? ***Follow up:*** *Are there questions you have had about faith that you felt you couldn't raise in church? What happened to those questions?*
3	Jesus didn't rebuke Thomas for doubting. He gave him time, then gave him evidence, and moved him toward knowledge. What would it look like if all Christian's (and the "big-C" church) treated doubt the way Jesus treated Thomas's doubt? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever had someone respond to your doubts the way Jesus responded to Thomas's? What did that feel like?*
4	Willard says intellectual bullying — the impulse to just win, to belittle objections, to 'rap someone pretty hard' until they submit — has done tremendous damage in the name of Christian apologetics. Have you seen this? What do you think drove that person's and/or group's impulse? ***Follow up:*** *What is the difference between being strong and clear in your convictions and being intellectually coercive?*
5	Willard describes the Christian apologist as someone who can say to a non-Christian: 'We are looking for the truth together, and if you can show me where I'm wrong, I'll take your side.' Does that posture feel natural to you? What makes it difficult? ***Follow up:*** *What would your conversations about faith look like if you truly believed you were discovering truth together rather than defending a position?*

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

A	Think about the last time you had a conversation about faith or Christianity with someone who wasn't a Christian. What posture were you operating from? Was it closer to 'I'm here to defend a position' or 'I'm here to help you'?
B	Read 1 Peter 3:15–16 slowly in its full context (verses 10–16). Notice what Peter says about the kind of life apologetics flows from. What does he say about the state of your heart? Write down one concrete thing that would need to be true in your inner life for your words to carry that kind of weight.

C	Is there someone in your life — a friend, a family member — whose doubt you have been avoiding rather than engaging? What would it look like to meet them the way Jesus met Thomas: patiently, with something real to offer, without scolding?

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

The question about doubt inside the church (Question 2) can open up significant vulnerability. People may have stories of being shut down when they asked questions, or of hiding doubts for years. Hold that space carefully. The goal is not to solve doubt but to acknowledge it is real and that Willard's vision of the church makes room for it.

SESSION 3

Biblical Apologetics

Chapter Three

CHAPTER SUMMARY

Chapter Three lays out the philosophical and theological foundation for why reason belongs at the center of apologetic work. Willard's core definition: a biblical apologetic is the best use of our natural faculties of thought in submission to the Holy Spirit to remove doubts and problems that hinder a trustful, energetic participation in a life of personal relationship with God.

He addresses head-on the common suspicion that thinking and faith are opposed — tracing this to a misreading of Colossians 2:8 ('Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit'). Paul's warning, Willard argues, is against philosophy combined with vain deceit, not against philosophy itself. He then makes a remarkable claim: the best answer to bad philosophy is good philosophy; the best answer to bad thinking is good thinking done in the Spirit of Jesus Christ.

He also defines what apologetics is not: not an attempt to prove we are right, not a defense of the Christian faith, not Christian evidences. Its goal is to answer the existential questions and quandaries that hurt people — to bring the tools of reason to bear on the doubts that keep people from a confident, active life with God. The chapter closes with three qualities essential to the apologist: confidence in God (not nervousness), humility and generosity toward others, and a true desire to lovingly serve.

KEY QUOTES

> *"A biblical apologetic is the best use of our natural faculties of thought in submission to the Holy Spirit to remove doubts and problems that hinder a trustful, energetic participation in a life of personal relationship with God."*

> *"Faith and thought belong together, and believing is impossible without thinking."*

> *"The whole trouble with a man of little faith is that he does not think. He allows circumstances to bludgeon him. . . . Christian faith is essentially thinking."*

> *"The best answer to bad philosophy is good philosophy. The best answer to vain deceit is truth."*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard argues that Paul's warning in Colossians 2:8 is against philosophy combined with vain deceit — not against philosophy itself. Have you witnessed someone, or a whole community, adopt the idea that thinking hard about faith is somehow dangerous or spiritually suspect? ***Follow up:*** *Where do you think the suspicion of reason and intellect in Christianity came from? What has it cost the church?*

2	Willard quotes Martyn Lloyd-Jones: 'The trouble with a man of little faith is that he does not think — he allows circumstances to bludgeon him.' What does he mean? Have you experienced this — faith that collapses under circumstances because it was never grounded in thought? ***Follow up:*** *What is the difference between the kind of thinking that strengthens faith and the kind that corrodes it?*
3	Willard is emphatic: the goal of apologetics is not to prove we are right and others are wrong. It is to help people who are suffering under doubt move toward a confident life with God. Does that reframing change anything for you? Does it feel like a relief or like a loss of something? ***Follow up:*** *What would someone have to give up if they were to stop treating conversations about faith as something to win?*
4	Willard says people who are genuinely inquiring about God don't want arguments — they want to see evidence that something is working in your life. He says the most compelling apologetic is a person who is visibly different because God is present in them. Do you agree with that? If so (or not), why? ***Follow up:*** *Who in your life has most convinced you that Christianity is true simply by the way they lived? What did you notice about them?*
5	Willard describes the Christian apologist as needing three things: confidence in God's truth (not nervousness), humility and generosity toward the other person, and a genuine desire to help rather than win. On which of those three are you (or the BOB) most strong? Which is the biggest gap?

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

A	Willard says we have largely 'signed away' our capacity to reason to the enemy by treating it as opposed to faith. Where in your own life have you done this? Are there questions you stopped asking, books you stopped reading, conversations you avoided — because thinking felt spiritually unsafe?
B	Look at Acts 2:7–36 this week — Peter's sermon at Pentecost. Willard says it is a masterpiece of logical progression: one hammer blow of reason after another, all under the direction of the Holy Spirit. What do you notice about how Peter uses reasoning, scripture, and the presence of God together? What does that model suggest for you?
C	The chapter's three qualities of the apologist (confidence, humility, genuine desire to serve) map closely to what Willard elsewhere calls 'love, joy, and peace.' Reflect on a recent conversation about faith. Which of the three was most present? Which was missing? What would it take to bring all three?

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

Question 1 may surface real church wounds — people who were told not to ask certain questions, or who felt their intellectual engagement was treated as a sign of spiritual deficiency. Create room for that. The Martyn Lloyd-Jones quote in Question 2 is worth reading aloud slowly; some groups will find it surprising and provocative.

SESSION 4

Faith and Reason

Chapter Four

CHAPTER SUMMARY

Chapter Four takes up the most philosophically rich territory in the book: the relationship between faith and reason, and the nature of God's existence as established by the physical world. Willard begins with a challenging premise: Jesus was the smartest person who ever lived, and his followers should be the best reasoners on earth. He traces how Jesus consistently used logic, perception, and evidence in his teaching — not mysticism or mere assertion.

He then builds a careful cosmological argument: every physical event has a cause, the series of physical causes cannot be infinite, therefore there must be a first cause that is non-physical and self-subsistent. This is the God of the Bible. He engages with common atheist objections (the Big Bang, cosmic evolution, the myth that science explains everything) and shows why they don't hold up under honest scrutiny.

Crucially, Willard also takes up the question of why God is not more obvious, and why human reason has been 'signed away' to secularism in recent Christian history. His answer: we have badly misunderstood reason's place in faith, treating them as opponents when scripture consistently uses them together. The chapter closes with a vision of faith as 'a living, well-founded confidence in the grace of God' (Luther) — not a leap in the dark but a knowledge-grounded trust in the one who holds all things together.

KEY QUOTES

> *"Jesus was the best reasoner on the face of the earth. He knew chemistry better than anybody. And that's true of any subject you can name."*

> *"If God showed up in his full glory, we could all just kiss our free will good-bye."*

> *"Faith is a living, well-founded confidence in the grace of God, so perfectly certain that it would die a thousand times rather than surrender its conviction."*
>
> **— Martin Luther, quoted by Willard**

> *"Creation of the physical world rests upon something without a cause outside of itself, a first cause that, in relation to nature, is supernatural and self-subsistent."*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard says Jesus was the smartest person who ever lived and that Christians should be the best reasoners on earth. Does that feel true to your experience of Christianity?
2	Willard argues that the physical world cannot explain itself — that a series of causes must have a first member that is non-physical and self-subsistent. Does that argument feel compelling to you? Where does it break down for you, if anywhere? ***Follow up:*** *What do you find most convincing about the existence of God? What do you find most difficult?*
3	Willard says God is not more obvious because if he showed up in his full glory, we would lose our free will — we could no longer freely choose him or choose against him. He uses the analogy of parents and children: some distance is necessary for genuine freedom. Does that explanation satisfy you? What does it leave unresolved? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever found yourself wishing God would just be obvious? What was happening in your life at that point?*
4	Willard says many Christians live with an 'operational unbelief' — they say they trust God but in practice rely entirely on natural processes and their own strength. They profess belief but act from disbelief. How do you test for that in your own life? What are the indicators? ***Follow up:*** *What is one area of your life where your behavior suggests you actually don't believe God is present and active?*
5	Luther's definition of faith — a living, well-founded confidence in the grace of God — pairs knowledge and trust inseparably. Most definitions of faith you've heard probably emphasize trust alone. How does adding the knowledge dimension change the definition? Does it make faith feel more or less accessible to you?

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

A	Read Hebrews 11 this week with Willard's claim in mind: that faith there is equated with a vision of reality, not a feeling or a wish. Look at how each person described 'saw' something that others couldn't see. What does Moses 'see'? What does Abraham 'see'? What does that kind of seeing require?
B	Willard asks: if you want to know whether you truly believe in God, ask yourself what you actually rely on when you get up in the morning, when problems come in your family, when you face difficulty at work. Sit honestly with that question for one day this week. What do you find?

C	Think about someone you know who operates from a confident, practical trust in God — someone whose faith is visibly functioning. What do you notice about how they think? How they talk? How they handle uncertainty? What could you learn from them? Now, go ask them to talk.

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

The cosmological argument in Question 2 may be new territory for some — the goal is to let people voice where the argument is compelling and where it breaks down for them. Question 4 (operational unbelief) could be a convicting question in this session.

SESSION 5

Communication Between God and Humanity

Chapter Five

CHAPTER SUMMARY

Chapter Five moves from establishing God's existence to examining how God communicates with humanity — through a covenant people, through scripture, and through personal word. Willard's central claim is that the existence of the Jewish people and their scriptures is one of the most compelling pieces of evidence for the God of the Bible. There are no people on earth like the Jews; their existence and survival is a theological fact that requires explanation.

He also addresses the reliability of scripture directly — not as a defense of every detail, but as a recognition that the Bible is the best-attested record of the ancient world, meeting the normal canons of historical evidence. He is careful to distinguish between the Bible's historical reliability (which he affirms strongly) and the precise doctrine of inerrancy (which he holds cautiously), noting that no one has seen the originals, and that the value of scripture lies not in possessing it but in approaching it for the purpose of finding God.

He then unpacks God's good purposes in human history: God is creating a community of people who freely choose him, who become creatures of the highest value — Christlike people living together in the kind of love that characterizes the Trinity. Human history, with all its suffering, is the necessary context for developing this kind of character. The chapter closes with a vision of God's covenant faithfulness through scripture and through his ongoing personal word to individuals.

KEY QUOTES

> *"There are no people on earth like the Jews. They owe their existence for millennia up to the present to God alone and to the truth that God gave to them."*

> *"To be a biblical Christian is not to have high views about the Bible. It is to seek and know and live the life that is depicted in the Bible."*

> *"God is creating a community of people who freely choose him, who become creatures of the highest value — the crown jewel of creation."*

> *"The scriptures are the best-attested record of the ancient world that we have. Normal standards of historical evidence authenticate them as reliable, historically and scientifically, so far as we can determine in every way."*

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard argues that the existence and survival of the Jewish people — a group that has owed its existence to God alone through millennia of persecution — is one of the most powerful evidential arguments for the God of the Bible. Had you thought of it that way before? Does it move you? ***Follow up:*** *What other historical facts seem hard to explain without reference to God?*
2	Willard says the value of the Bible is not in possessing it or defending it but in approaching it for the purpose of finding God — and that anyone who approaches it for that purpose will indeed find him and be spoken to by him. Is that your experience of scripture? What would it look like to approach the Bible that way more consistently? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever gone to scripture primarily to win an argument? What happened? What would it have looked like to go for a different purpose?*
3	Willard distinguishes carefully between God's historical reliability (very strong) and precise claims of verbal inerrancy (which he holds more cautiously). Does that distinction trouble you? Does it help you? Where does your own view on scripture tend to land? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever seen a fixation on defending the perfection of every detail of the Bible actually prevent someone from finding God in it? What does that tell you?*
4	Willard says God's purpose in human history is to create a community of people who freely choose him and become creatures of the highest value — Christlike people who live with the kind of love that characterizes the Trinity. Does knowing that purpose change how you understand the suffering and evil in human history? ***Follow up:*** *Does this vision of God's purpose in history feel personal to you — do you see your own life as part of it? What difference does it make if you do?*
5	Willard ends the chapter talking about God's ongoing, personal word to individuals — the idea that the God of the Bible is not silent but speaks. He acknowledges many Christians sing about God speaking to them but don't actually expect it. What is your honest experience with this? Do you expect God to communicate with you personally? What shapes that expectation?

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

A	Willard says the highest iteration of God that has ever been given to humanity is in the Old Testament, and the New Testament is simply a natural consequence once you understand the Old. Spend time this week reading Psalm 23 slowly — not to recite it but to sit with it. What does it tell you about the kind of God the Bible describes? What aspects of that picture do you actually believe?
B	Willard makes a distinction between the people of Israel (imperfect) and the book they produced (which he regards as reliably reflecting God's communication). Think about your own community of faith. Where is it imperfect? How does that shake your faith? How does it strengthen it?

C	Willard says God's purpose in human history is to bring out of it a 'crown jewel' — Christlike people living together in self-giving love. Write down one person whose life has most shown you what that looks like. What specifically did you see in them? What is the gap between that and your life right now?

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

Question 3 (on inerrancy) has the potential to surface significant theological disagreement — the goal is not to resolve the doctrine but to notice that Willard's more pastoral approach may free some people who have been stuck. Question 5 might challenge people because it surfaces the gap between what people say they believe about God (that he speaks) and what they actually expect.

SESSION 6

Pain, Evil & Living with God

Chapters Six and Seven

CHAPTER SUMMARY

The final two chapters bring the book to its pastoral and practical climax. Chapter Six addresses the problem of pain and evil — perhaps the most urgent argument against Christianity. Willard takes it seriously as a philosophical problem, unpacking the formal argument (God is benevolent and powerful; therefore he would prevent suffering; suffering exists; therefore God is either not good or not powerful) and then carefully dismantling it.

His key moves: pain is not itself evil — it is necessary to human life and to the development of genuine character. A world that contains the possibility of evil is the only world in which genuine moral character can develop. God is not the agent of evil but stands against it, constantly restraining it. And crucially: every individual who suffers, however severely, will ultimately receive from God an existence so good that it will fill them with gratitude for all that came before it. The suffering of this life is not the final word.

Chapter Seven is the capstone of the book: the ultimate apologetic. Willard argues that no argument, however good, finally convinces people of the reality of God. What convinces them is seeing a human being who is actually living from the resources of the kingdom of God — someone whose life is visibly different because God is genuinely present in it. The model is Psalm 23: a person who walks through danger and darkness without fear, who experiences God's provision as a present daily reality, who prays and speaks with the casual confidence of someone living in a personal kingdom. That person, Willard says, is the ultimate apologetic.

KEY QUOTES

> *"The world that contains the possibility of evil is the one that also contains the greatest possibility of good. A world that permits the development of moral character is of much greater value than any world that does not."*
>
> **— Willard, Chapter Six**

> *"God allows people to suffer precisely because he is benevolent. It is for our best."*
>
> **— Willard, Chapter Six**

> *"The ultimate apologetic is the life of the individual who is living out of the resources of the kingdom of God."*
>
> **— Willard, Chapter Seven**

> *"People need to see individuals living in daily interaction with the kingdom of the heavens: praying and saying. What we have to attack is our own hesitancy to step up."*
>
> **— Willard, Chapter Seven**

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

You won't need all five, follow the energy in the room.

1	Willard argues that a world without the possibility of evil would also be a world without the possibility of genuine goodness, love, or character. 'Good persons must live in a world where doing evil is a readily available option.' Does that argument make sense to you? Does it help? Does it leave anything unresolved? ***Follow up:*** *Have you ever tried to give someone this answer while they were in the middle of real suffering? What happened? What would have been more helpful?*
2	Willard says God does not cause evil — he stands against it constantly. The book of Job illustrates God's posture: he has a hedge around his people; testing and suffering come from an adversary, not from God. Could that understanding of evil change how you pray in difficult circumstances? ***Follow up:*** *Do you find it harder to believe in a good God when you are suffering, or when someone you love is suffering? What does that difference tell you?*
3	Willard says the strongest argument for Christianity is not an argument at all. It is a person — specifically, a person who is so visibly living from the resources of the kingdom of God that others stop and ask, 'Why are you like that?' Does that feel like good news or like a heavier burden? Why? ***Follow up:*** *Who in your life most embodies this kind of life? What is it about them that you find most convincing?*
4	Willard's reading of Psalm 23 is startling: he says most Christians are so scared of these words that they recite them at funerals without believing a syllable. 'The Lord is my shepherd, I shall not want' — do you believe that? Do you live as if you believe it? What would your life look like if you did? ***Follow up:*** *What is the gap between how you approach Psalm 23 as a recited text and how you would approach it if it described your actual daily experience with God?*
5	Looking back over the six sessions, what is the single most significant thing Willard has shifted or challenged in how you think about faith, doubt, reason, or witness? What do you want to carry forward from this book into your actual life? ***Follow up:*** *What is one concrete thing you want to do differently in the next 30 days as a result of this study?*

PERSONAL REFLECTION & APPLICATION

A	Willard closes the book with a prayer: 'Lord, help us to be simple, humble, and thoughtful as we listen to others and help them come to faith in the One who has given us life.' Sit with that prayer. Which of those three — simple, humble, thoughtful — is hardest for you? Bring that to God this week.

B	Take Psalm 23 into your next week as a daily practice. Read it once each morning as if it were true about your day — not as a recitation but as a description of what you actually expect from God that day. At the end of the week, write down what you noticed. What changed? What remained difficult to believe?

C	Willard says the ultimate apologetic is someone whose life makes others ask, 'Why are you so happy?' Think about what you want your life to communicate over the next year. Write down one thing that would need to be genuinely true about your inner life for someone to ask you that question. What is the next step toward that?

NOTE FOR GROUP LEADERS

Question 1 requires care: the argument that evil is necessary for goodness can sound cold when people are in real pain. If anyone in your group is currently suffering, make room for that reality before moving to the philosophical content. Question 4 (Psalm 23) works well as a closing exercise for the whole six-week series — it surfaces how much gap exists between professed belief and lived trust.

CONTINUING THE JOURNEY

Recommended Reading & Resources

BY DALLAS WILLARD

The Divine Conspiracy: Rediscovering Our Hidden Life in God — Willard's masterwork on what it means to live as a disciple of Jesus. The chapter on the Sermon on the Mount will change how you read the Gospels.

Renovation of the Heart: Putting on the Character of Christ — The most practical treatment of how the spiritual disciplines actually form us. Essential reading if you want to understand what discipleship looks like in concrete terms.

Hearing God: Developing a Conversational Relationship with God — The book Willard references in Chapter Seven when he talks about learning to recognize God's voice. Highly practical and scripturally grounded.

The Spirit of the Disciplines: Understanding How God Changes Lives — The theological foundation for understanding why spiritual practices matter. Willard references it in Chapter One.

ON APOLOGETICS & FAITH AND REASON

Mere Christianity by C. S. Lewis — The classic lay introduction to the intellectual case for Christianity. Pairs well with Willard's approach in Chapters Three and Four.

The Reason for God by Timothy Keller — A contemporary apologetics book that shares Willard's spirit of gentle, honest engagement with honest doubts. Strong chapter on the problem of evil.

Your Mind Matters by John Stott — The short book Willard quotes from in Chapter Three. A classic defense of the intellect's proper role in Christian discipleship.

Classical Apologetics by R. C. Sproul, John Gerstner, and Arthur Lindsley — Willard recommends it in Chapter Five for its treatment of scripture's historical reliability.

ON SUFFERING & EVIL

The Problem of Pain by C. S. Lewis — The most accessible and honest philosophical treatment of the problem Willard addresses in Chapter Six. Lewis does not offer easy answers.

Walking with God through Pain and Suffering by Timothy Keller — Combines theological depth with pastoral warmth. Good for group members who are themselves in the middle of difficulty.